ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures for high-performance electronic nanodevice applications
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We report on fabrication and electrical characteristics of field effect transistors (FETs) based on ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures. As compared to bare ZnO nanorod FETs, coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs exhibited the enhanced mobility (~110 cm$^2$/V s), superior subthreshold swing (~200 mV/decade), and negligibly small hysteresis to demonstrate very stable operation of high-performance nanorod FETs. In situ surface passivation and carrier confinement effects provided by heteroepitaxially grown Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O shell layer are presumably responsible for the highly enhanced device performance. © 2009 American Institute of Physics.
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Tremendous demand has arisen for fabrication of field effect transistors (FETs) with high carrier mobility, large current on/off ratio, and small subthreshold swing for transparent electronic device applications.$^1$ Despite recent progress on/off ratio, and small subthreshold swing for transparent electronic device applications.$^1$ Despite recent progress in the conventional top-down approach based on heteroepitaxial thin film growth, lithography, and etching processes, the top-down approach allows the use of only lattice-matched single-crystal substrates for high-quality thin film growth. This problem may be resolved by a bottom-up approach using nanomaterials for device fabrication.$^2,3$ The bottom-up method to use metal oxide nanostructures has recently demonstrated the ability to exploit nanostructures as building blocks for transparent device applications.$^4,5$ In particular, high-quality ZnO nanorods have been employed for fabricating FETs$^6$ and logic gates.$^7$ The device characteristics of ZnO nanorod FETs are significantly enhanced by passivating the ZnO surfaces with an insulating polymer$^8,9$ and inorganic dielectric layers$^9$ because the high-density surface states of metal oxide nanostructures cause hysteresis in the electrical characteristics$^{10,11}$ and reduce minority carrier lifetime.$^12$ However, significantly better device performance is expected with the use of heteroepitaxial ZnO/Mg$_{1−x}$Zn$_x$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures. Here, we report the electrical characteristics of heteroepitaxial ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures and their FET applications.

Single-crystal ZnO nanorods and heteroepitaxial ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures were employed to fabricate high-performance nanorod FETs. In these experiments, the diameters of core ZnO nanorods ranged from 20 to 40 nm, while the Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O shell layer thickness ranged from 3 to 6 nm. Details of the growth as well as the structural and optical characteristics of bare ZnO nanorods and ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures are described elsewhere.$^{13}$ After the oxide nanostructures were prepared using catalyst-free metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy, they were dispersed on a 300-nm-thick SiO$_2$/heavily doped Si substrate, which was used as a back-gate electrode, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Figure 1(b) shows a scanning electron microscopy image of a typical nanorod FET. Micropatterns for source and drain electrodes with a channel length of 0.8–1.5 μm were made by e-beam lithography, followed by metal evaporation of the Ti/Au (60/40 nm) layers and lift-off processes. The as-fabricated devices exhibited highly resistive current–voltage ($I_{ds}$–$V_{ds}$) characteristics. To obtain good Ohmic contacts between the ZnO and Ti metal layers, a rapid thermal annealing process was performed in an O$_2$ atmosphere at 300 °C for 4 min. To conduct a fair comparison of the electrical characteristics between bare ZnO nanorod and ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs, bare ZnO nanorods with similar dimensions to those for core ZnO in the coaxial nanorod heterostructures were grown under the same growth conditions and same processes as employed for fabricating the device.

We compared the gate voltage ($V_g$)-dependent current ($I_{ds}$) characteristic curves of ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs with bare ZnO nanorod FETs at room temperature. Although both FETs exhibited typical transistor characteristics with a large current on/off ratio of ~10$^5$, Fig. 2 clearly shows that the device characteristics for coaxial nanorod FETs were significantly better than those of bare nanorod FETs. Most distinctive improvements were observed in the transconductance ($g_m$) and field effect mobility ($\mu_{FE}$), which are key parameters. From the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ characteristic curve where $V_{ds}$=0.5 V, the normalized $g_m$=$dI_{ds}/dV_g$ for coaxial nanorod FETs had an estimated value of 60/40 nm,

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic diagram and (b) typical scanning electron microscopy image of ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs.](https://example.com/figure1.png)
value of 2.5 $\mu S/\mu m$, while the value for the bare nanorod device was 1.0 $\mu S/\mu m$. Using the normalized $g_m$ values and gate capacitance coupling for the back-gate geometry,\textsuperscript{14} the $\mu_{FE}$ value of 110 cm$^2$/Vs was extracted for coaxial nanorod FETs, which was much higher than that of 27 cm$^2$/Vs for bare nanorod FETs. The obtained $\mu_{FE}$ value for coaxial nanorod FETs was even higher than those for ZnO transparent thin film transistors (TFTs) ($\sim$80 cm$^2$/Vs)\textsuperscript{1} and comparable to those for ZnO/Mg$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$O thin film heterostructure FETs fabricated on single-crystal substrates.\textsuperscript{15} Such improvement in $\mu_{FE}$ for coaxial nanorod FETs implies a reduction in the conduction electron scattering with the surface states and resulted from the heteroepitaxially grown Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O shell layer confining carriers and reducing surface state effects.

In addition to the enhanced transconductance and carrier mobility, ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs exhibited remarkably small subthreshold swing (SS) values: the average $S$ value of 350 mV/decade and the best value of 200 mV/decade at room temperature, which are in contrast with much larger value of 1900 mV/decade for bare nanorod FETs. The $S$ value for coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs was much smaller than those for polymer-coated ZnO nanorod FETs,\textsuperscript{6} ZnO TFTs,\textsuperscript{1,15} and back-gated InAs/InP core/shell nanowire FETs.\textsuperscript{16} As will be discussed later, the more desirable characteristics may have resulted from fewer surface and interface states in coaxial nanorod heterostructures, as compared to the number of states for bare nanorods.

Also notable in the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ characteristic curves for coaxial nanorod FETs was negligible hysteresis even at room temperature as shown in Fig. 2. In contrast, the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ curves for bare ZnO nanorod FETs exhibited very clear hysteresis of $\Delta V_g \sim 5$ V. The observed hysteresis, which hampers a reliable device operation, was probably associated with the surface states of the bare oxide nanorods, molecular species adsorption onto the surfaces,\textsuperscript{5,10} and/or interface states formed at the nanorod-SiO$_2$ dielectric interface.\textsuperscript{10,11} Accordingly, the observation of negligible hysteresis in the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ curves for coaxial nanorod heterostructures strongly suggests that the heteroepitaxial growth of the Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O shell layer on core ZnO nanorods passivated the ZnO surface states effectively, and the possible formation of interfacial defects could be suppressed by the heteroepitaxial growth of a lattice-matched shell layer. Furthermore, conduction carriers may have been confined within the ZnO core due to the higher band gap energy of Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O in comparison to ZnO. Consequently, the influence of the outer shell surface states on electronic transport was reduced, and the stability of the nanorod FETs was enhanced.

Coaxial nanorod FET characteristics were further investigated at various temperatures from 78 K to room temperature (Table I). As good Ohmic contacts on high-quality wide band gap semiconductors were difficult to form at low temperatures, the ability to form Ohmic contacts even at 78 K was first confirmed. Figure 3(a) shows typical $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ curves for ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod FETs, measured at 78 K and room temperature. Both curves exhibited linear and symmetric characteristics, indicating the formation of good Ohmic contacts on the coaxial nanorod heterostructures. It should be noted that the overall conductance increased from 1.6 to 2.0 $\mu S$ as the temperature decreased from room temperature to 78 K, consistent with the formation of Ohmic contacts.

Additional significant temperature-dependent features of the electrical characteristics were observed from the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ characteristic curves. As shown in Fig. 3(b), with decreasing temperature, the $I_{ds}$–$V_g$ characteristic curves of coaxial nanorod FETs exhibited a shift in threshold voltage ($V_{th}$) from $\sim$11 to $\sim$8.6 V and an increased normalized $g_m$ from 2.5 to 3.9 $\mu S/\mu m$, corresponding to $\mu_{FE}$ values of 110 and 160 cm$^2$/Vs. The carrier density ($n$) is related to $V_{th}$ and is given by the equation of $n = C_g/V_{th}/U$, where $C_g$ is the gate capacitance and $U$ is the total volume of the nanorod between two electrodes.\textsuperscript{14} Accordingly, the reduced $V_{th}$ at 78 K suggests that $n(\sim 1.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$) at 78 K is lower than that ($\sim 1.9 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$) at room temperature because $C_g$ does not depend significantly on temperature. Figure 3(b) also shows that the on-state conductance in the saturation regime is higher at 78 K than that at room temperature. According to the Drude model of $\sigma = ne\mu$, where $\sigma$ is the conductivity, a lower $n$ indicates higher carrier mobility at low temperature. Other coaxial nanorod FETs also exhibited similar behavior.

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Semi-log plot of transfer characteristic ($I_{ds}$–$V_g$) curves of bare ZnO nanorod and coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs at room temperature. Clockwise hysteresis loops were obtained with a typical sweep rate of 0.1 V/s for $V_g$.](image)

![FIG. 3. (Color online) Typical (a) current–voltage ($I_{ds}$–$V_{th}$) characteristic curves and (b) semi-log plot of transfer characteristic curves of a coaxial nanorod heterostructure FET at 78 K and room temperature. The transfer characteristics were measured at $V_{th}$=0.5 V.](image)

### Table I. Representative electrical characteristics of bare ZnO nanorod FETs and ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs measured at 78, 150, and 280 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Normalized $g_m$ ($\mu S/\mu m$)</th>
<th>Mobility ($cm^2/Vs$)</th>
<th>$S$ (mV/decade)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>160 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>200 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>100 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the temperature-dependent $I_d-V_g$ characteristics. Such enhancement of $\mu_{FE}$ at low temperature may have resulted from the reduction in phonon scattering.\textsuperscript{17}

In addition to the temperature dependence of the transconductance and mobility values, another distinctive feature was found in the temperature-dependent $S$ values for coaxial nanorod FETs. As shown in Fig. 4, coaxial nanorod FETs exhibited smaller values of $S$ than those for bare nanorod FETs throughout the whole temperature range. In addition, their $S$ values showed a linear dependence on temperature, where $S$ decreased from 350 mV/decade at room temperature to 200 mV/decade at 78 K. In addition, the subthreshold swing can be expressed by the following equation:\textsuperscript{18}

$$S = \frac{dV_g}{d(\log I_d)} = \frac{2.3k_B T}{q} \left[ 1 + \frac{(C_d + C_{int})}{C_g} \right],$$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $q$ is the electrical charge, $C_d$ is the depletion capacitance in the channel and $C_{int}$ is the capacitance by surface and/or interface states. Since $S$ is closely related to the amount of surface and/or interface states, the smaller $S$ values for coaxial nanorod FETs compared to those for bare nanorod FETs are attributed to the fewer surface and interface states, and consequently smaller values of $C_{int}$. Furthermore, the linear dependence of $S$ on temperature confirms that $C_d$ should be much smaller than $C_g$ and $C_{int}$ because among those capacitances, only $C_g$ is significantly dependent on temperature. In contrast to this linear behavior for coaxial nanorod FETs, bare ZnO nanorod FETs exhibited a substantial drop in $S$ value with decreasing temperature, presumably resulting from thermal quenching of surface states. Although values of bare ZnO nanorod FETs decreased at low temperatures, they were still twice larger than those for coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs. Accordingly, the observed temperature-dependent behavior of $S$ strongly suggests that the improved electrical characteristics of heteroepitaxial oxide coaxial nanorod heterostructures resulted from the effective passivation of ZnO surface states and the negligibly small number of interface states between the ZnO and Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O layers.

High-quality ZnO/Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O coaxial nanorod heterostructures provide significant opportunities for the fabrication of high-performance oxide electronic nanodevices. Excellent electrical characteristics for coaxial nanorod heterostructure FETs, as compared with bare ZnO nanorod FETs, were observed: much higher mobility and smaller subthreshold swing values. Such desirable behavior by an oxide coaxial nanorod heterostructure device was mainly attributable to both \textit{in situ} surface passivation and carrier confinement effects through the heteroepitaxial growth of a lattice-matched Mg$_{0.2}$Zn$_{0.8}$O shell layer with a wider band gap than ZnO nanorods. These oxide coaxial nanorod heterostructures would markedly increase the versatility and power as a building block for the fabrication of numerous electrical nanodevices based on oxide heterostructures.
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