Rhythmic Interaction between Period1 mRNA and hnRNP Q Leads to Circadian Time-Dependent Translation
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The mouse PERIOD1 (mPER1) protein, along with other clock proteins, plays a crucial role in the maintenance of circadian rhythms. mPER1 also provides an important link between the circadian system and the cell cycle system. Here we show that the circadian expression of mPER1 is regulated by rhythmic translational control of mPer1 mRNA together with transcriptional modulation. This time-dependent translation was controlled by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) element in the 5′ untranslated region (5′-UTR) of mPer1 mRNA along with the trans-acting factor mouse heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein Q (mhnRNP Q). Knockdown of mhnRNP Q caused a decrease in mPER1 levels and a slight delay in mPER1 expression without changing mRNA levels. The rate of IRES-mediated translation exhibits phase-dependent characteristics through rhythmic interactions between mPer1 mRNA and mhnRNP Q. Here, we demonstrate 5′-UTR-mediated rhythmic mPer1 translation and provide evidence for posttranscriptional regulation of the circadian rhythmicity of core clock genes.

Circadian rhythms are produced by an endogenous clock system and are present in single-celled to complex organisms. The principal circadian pacemaker is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus in mammals (33, 44). The mammalian molecular circadian clock system is composed of feedback loops comprised of regulatory steps at the transcriptional, translational, and posttranslational levels (31). These regulatory steps must be coordinated properly for the fine-tuning of both amplitude and 24-h periodicity. In particular, posttranscriptional regulation plays an important role, although its mechanism is less well understood (17, 26, 29, 46, 47). One of the core clock genes in mammals, Period1 (Per1), was originally identified as a structural homologue of the Drosophila melanogaster circadian clock gene per (42). The transcription of Per1 is activated by the CLOCK-BMAL1 heterodimer (13, 20) and repressed by a complex containing PER and cryptochrome (CRY) proteins (28), thus comprising one of the core feedback loops. Although the molecular function of mPER1 has not yet been defined, it is an essential gene for the maintenance of circadian rhythm, because Per1 knockout mice show an altered period (2, 5, 52). mPER1 is thought to be involved in resetting the circadian oscillator (1) and to provide an important link between the circadian system and the cell cycle system, such as cell growth and DNA damage control (14). Interestingly, mouse Per1 (mPer1) expression is rhythmic, but the phase of protein expression is delayed 6 to 8 h relative to the mRNA in mouse SCN (10), indicating that mPER1 expression may be regulated at a posttranscriptional step. This time lag between the mRNA and protein expression profiles has also been observed in the Drosophila per gene (51), suggesting that these time lags may be important for the clock system. Until now, many researchers interested in circadian systems have focused on transcriptional and posttranslational regulatory steps, with minor efforts focused on posttranscriptional control, especially mRNA stability. Moreover, the role of translational control in circadian rhythmicity is not well understood. We hypothesized that circadian phase-specific translational regulation of mPer1 mRNA might be a novel mechanism for controlling mPER1 expression. One mechanism of translational regulation is an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES)-mediated system. IRESs recruit ribosomes directly in a cap-independent manner, in contrast to the canonical cap-dependent scanning model (12, 19, 43). Since the discovery of viral IRESs (22, 37), various cellular mRNAs have been shown to contain IRESs. IRES-mediated translation is used to regulate protein synthesis in certain physiological circumstances (41, 50), such as apoptosis, cell cycle, development, and differentiation. Furthermore, IRES-mediated translation is important to nocturnal arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (AANAT) protein synthesis in the rat pineal gland (25). In contrast to canonical cap-dependent translation, IRES-mediated translation can potentially be controlled in various ways, such as in the presence of IRES trans-acting factors (ITAFs), RNA secondary structures, RNA levels, and in some cases, iron (38). ITAF is thought to function as an RNA chaperone (38, 40). The binding of ITAF stabilizes a specific IRES RNA conformation that enables the binding of other factors or of the ribosome. Therefore, the binding of a specific combination of ITAFs on a target IRES in the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) could control the translation system.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid constructions. mPer1 5'-UTRs (e1A and e1B) were amplified from mPer1 cDNA using Pfu polymerase (Solgent) and confirmed by sequencing. The resulting products were cloned into the SalI/Smal site of the intercistronic region of a pRF bicistronic vector containing Renilla luciferase (Rlu) in the first cistron and firefly luciferase (Fluc) in the second cistron (8, 23, 25). We used Pfu, PHRF, and ΔCMV RF vector backbones (25). To create the deletion constructs pHRF144 and pHRF63, mPer1 5'-UTR fragments were amplified from pRF-e1A and pRF-e1B and then inserted into the SalI/Smal site of the mock vector pHRF (25).

For the in vitro binding assay/UV-crosslinking experiment, fragments of the mPer1 5'-UTR were amplified, and the PCR products were digested and subcloned into the EcoRI/XbaI site of the pSK'-vector (24) to generate pSK'-e1A, pSK'-e1B, pSK'-144, and pSK'-63.

To generate the bicistronic mRNA reporter for mRNA transfection, pCY2-RFe1A, pCY2-RFe1B, and pCY2-RF63 were constructed as follows: the 5'-UTRs of mPer1 were cut from pRF-e1A and pRF-e1B using SalI/BamHI and inserted into the SalI/BamH1 site of pCY2-RF (6, 25).

Cell culture, isolation of embryonic fibroblasts, and drug treatment. HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; WelGENE) with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics (WelGENE). NIH 3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics and maintained in a humidified 95% air–5% CO2 incubator. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated from trypsin-EDTA-digested embryonic day 13.5 (E13.5) embryos (30). Primary MEFs were cultured in DMEM containing glutamine but not Na-pyruvate (HyClone), with 1% antibiotics, 1% glutamine (Gibco), 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), and 10% fetal bovine serum. The circadian oscillation of NIH 3T3 cells was synchronized by treatment with 100 nM dexamethasone. After 2 h, the medium was replaced with complete medium (4, 29, 46, 47). To block the translation system, NIH 3T3 cells were treated with 20 nM rapamycin or 100 μM cycloheximide (CHX) and then harvested at the indicated times.

Transient transfection and RNA interference. For expression of the reporter constructs, HEK 293T and NIH 3T3 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 5 × 104 cells per well 1 day prior to treatment. Transfections were carried out using Metafectene (Biontex) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. After incubation for 36 h, cells were harvested. The reporter mRNA transfection was performed as follows: NIH 3T3 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μg of the capped bicistronic reporter mRNA and incubated for 2 h. The medium was then exchanged for complete medium, and the cells were incubated for a further 4 h. In the study of time-dependent transfection, NIH 3T3 cells were treated with dexamethasone and transiently transfected with 2 μg of the capped bicistronic reporter mRNA at intervals by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and incubated for 6 h prior to harvest. Cell lysates were then prepared and subjected to a luciferase assay or immunoblotting. Northern blotting, or immunoprecipitation.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA; hnQsi) was designed for endogenous mouse heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein Q (mhnRNP Q) knockdown. Mutated siRNA (hnQsi_m) had changes in 3 nucleotides of hnQsi. The siRNA sequences are shown in Table S1 of the supplemental material. For siRNA transfection into NIH 3T3 cells, a microporator (Digi) was used as recommended by the manufacturer. After incubation for 36 h, cells were harvested at the indicated times. The luciferase assay was performed as previously described (25). The luciferase activities (FLUC/RLUC) were calculated. The ratio for the empty vector pRF was set to 1.

mRNA levels of endogenous or reporter plasmids were detected by quantitative real-time PCR using a MyiQ single-color real-time detector system (Bio-Rad) or a StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with the SYBR green mixture (Takara), as described previously (29, 46, 47). Specific primer pairs for mPer1, mTbp, and firefly luciferase were used for quantitative real-time PCR (the primer sequences are shown in Table S2 of the supplemental material).

For IF-RT, we used a slightly modified method from that previously reported (32, 48). The cytoplasmic extract was obtained as described previously (24). Immunoprecipitation was performed under RNase-free conditions and carried out in immunoprecipitation buffer containing 125 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.05% NP-40, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, RNasin (Promega), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem). RNA was extracted from the washed protein G-agarose bead pellet with an RNA isolation solution (Molecular Research Center). Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR were performed as described above.

Ribosomal profiling. Control or hnRNP Q-specific siRNA-transfected NIH 3T3 cells were treated with cycloheximide (100 μg/ml) for 5 min at 37°C and then harvested. Cell extracts were subjected to sucrose gradient analysis, as previously described (9, 35). Total RNA of each fraction was purified using TRI reagent (Molecular Research Center) and subjected to real-time PCR analysis for quantification.

Statistical analyses. All quantitative data are presented as means ± standard errors of the means (SEM). To compare results between more than two groups, we used a one-way analysis of variance with a post hoc Tukey’s honestly significant difference test (IGOR Software). The criterion for statistical significance was set at a P level of <0.05.

RESULTS

Existence of an IRES element in mPer1 mRNA. IRES-dependent translation is mainly modulated by 5'-UTRs (12, 19, 43). Interestingly, mPer1 has two forms of 5'-UTRs, 183 bp (e1A) and 194 bp (e1B) (Fig. 1A), both consisting of two exons. The first exons of the 5'-UTRs are different from each other, but the second exons, which include the start codon, are the same.
Both types of 5′-UTRs are the result of alternative promoter usage, but the functional differences between the two are unknown (49). To investigate the existence of an IRES in mPer1 mRNA, we inserted the 5′-UTRs of mPer1 into a bicistronic reporter vector (Fig. 1A) (22, 25, 37, 43). The vector contained a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter for direct transcription of a bicistronic RNA encoding Renilla luciferase (Rluc) in the first cistron and firefly luciferase (Fluc) in the second cistron. The translation of Rluc from the first cistron is served by cap-dependent translation, while the translation of Fluc reflects the IRES activity of the inserted intergenic sequences. This system is considered the gold standard in finding IRES elements. Both 5′-UTRs (e1A and e1B) enhanced the translation of Fluc more than 8-fold compared to the control vector (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that mPer1 mRNA contains a potential IRES element within its 5′-UTR. We inhibited the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway in NIH 3T3 cells (11, 24). Rapamycin induces hypophosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding proteins (4E-BPs), causing inhibition of canonical cap-dependent translation (15, 18, 36, 39). When we treated NIH 3T3 cells with rapamycin, the phospho-4E-BP (p4E-BP) level was decreased, with no significant changes in the level of mPER1 protein (Fig. 1C). However, the general protein biosynthesis inhibitor CHX induced a dramatic decrease in mPER1 protein level. These results suggest that an alternative translational system, other than cap-dependent
transcription, may be involved in maintaining the mPER1 protein level. The results also indicate that IRES-mediated translation plays a role in mPER1 production.

**Confirmation of an IRES element in mPer1 mRNA.** Recently, the notion of IRES-mediated translation of eukaryotic mRNA has been challenged on the basis of the methods typically used for the identification of IRES elements (27, 45). The result shown in Fig. 1B suggests that the 5′-UTRs of mPer1 mRNA may enhance the read-through of ribosomes through the intergenic region, contain IRES elements that enhance the translation of Fluc from the bicistronic mRNA by internal initiation, or contain a cryptic promoter or splicing acceptor site that creates a monocistronic transcript of Fluc. To exclude any cryptic promoter activity of the mPer1 5′-UTR, we removed the CMV promoter from bicistronic reporter constructs (Fig. 1D). Because RLUC and FLUC activities were measured from cells transfected with promoterless bicistronic constructs, the expression levels of RLuc and Fluc were almost zero (Fig. 1F and G, ΔCMV RFe1A and ΔCMV RFe1B). Based on these results, we confirmed that the 5′-UTRs of mPer1 do not contain cryptic promoters. To determine whether the effect of the mPer1 5′-UTRs on the translation of the second cistron is due to ribosome reinitiation, a synthetic hairpin loop was inserted upstream of RLuc in both pHRFe1A and pHRFe1B (Fig. 1E). The insertion of a hairpin loop reduced the expression of the first cistron, RLuc, by 80 to 90% compared to pRFe1A and pRFe1B, but the activity of the second cistron, Fluc, produced by pHRFe1A and pHRFe1B was not affected (Fig. 1F and G, pHRFe1A and pHRFe1B). This argues against ribosome reinitiation as a possible mechanism. We also confirmed the IRES of mPer1 in the mouse cell line NIH 3T3. 5′-UTRs of mPer1 enhanced the second cistron Fluc (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). The insertion of a hairpin loop also reduced RLuc but not Fluc, which are produced by pHRFe1A and pHRFe1B (see Fig. S1B, C, D, and E in the supplemental material). Noncryptic promoter activities of mPer1 5′-UTRs were also confirmed in NIH 3T3 cells (see Fig. S1F and G). The RNA levels of bicistronic reporters shown by quantitative RT-PCR or Northern blotting confirmed that the induction of Fluc translation was not caused by altered mRNA stability, transcription, or the presence of cryptic promoter activity or splice acceptors that produced monocistronic products (see Fig. S2A and B). From these results, we conclude that the 5′-UTRs of mPer1 mRNA have IRESs that can directly initiate translation.

**Existence of rhythmic IRES activity.** To verify the function of the mPer1 IRES under physiological conditions with circadian rhythm, dexamethasone was applied to achieve synchronization of circadian time in NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts (3, 29, 46, 47). In dexamethasone-treated NIH 3T3 cells, we confirmed the oscillation of mRNA and protein and showed the time lag to be approximately 8 h (Fig. 2A and B). To rule out any transcriptional or posttranscriptional effects of the 5′-UTR, we generated a bicistronic reporter mRNA (25) containing a cap structure for each construct, RFe1A and RFe1B (Fig. 2C). The relative IRES activities of constructs containing the 5′-UTRs were higher than that of the reporter lacking a 5′-UTR (data not shown). When we transiently transfected reporter mRNAs to dexamethasone-treated NIH 3T3 cells at certain time intervals, the IRES-mediated translation of mPer1 seemed to be regulated rhythmically (Fig. 2D). This time-dependent transfection did not change the endogenous circadian rhythm (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). From these results, we suggest that there is a rhythmic IRES-mediated translation of mPer1 mRNA that may be closely related to the circadian expression of mPER1 protein.

**mhnRNP Q specifically interacts with the mPer1 5′-UTR.** To determine the cis-acting element of the mPer1 5′-UTR that is responsible for IRES activity, we generated reporter constructs containing a truncated 5′-UTR of mPer1 mRNA (Fig. 3A). Because mPer1 5′-UTRs contain two exons that have the same second exon but different first exons, we made the first exon-deleted construct containing the second exon and only 10 nt of the first exon (Fig. 3A, construct 144). We also deleted 80 nt from the 144 construct on the basis of the RNA secondary structure (Fig. 3A, construct 63) and then inserted the truncated 5′-UTRs of mPer1 into the pHRF vector. The pHRF63 deletion construct exhibited 60 to 70% decrease in Fluc activity compared to controls (pHRFe1A and pHRF e1B), yet the RLuc activity was similar among all constructs (Fig. 3B and C). These results suggest that the region between the 144 and 63 constructs in the mPer1 5′-UTR is important for IRES function.

Generally, IRES-mediated translation requires ITAFs that regulate ribosome recruitment, which ultimately determines cap-independent translation efficiency (41, 43). We assumed that ITAFs might bind to the cis-acting element for IRES function of the mPer1 5′-UTR. To analyze the relationship between mPer1 IRES function and the binding patterns of cellular proteins, we performed UV cross-linking assays. All 5′-UTRs, wild-type (e1A and e1B) and deleted constructs (144 and 63) bound to some cytoplasmic cellular proteins in a similar manner (Fig. 3D). Among them, a 68-kDa protein (p68) showed strong binding to full-length e1A, e1B, and deletion construct 144, but not to deletion construct 63 (Fig. 3D, lane 4), which showed weak IRES activity. The binding patterns of p68 were also similar when nuclear protein extracts were used for the UV cross-linking assay (see Fig. S4A in the supplemental material). We previously reported the function of mhnRNP Q as an ITAF and 5′-UTR-binding protein involved in IRES-mediated translation and mRNA degradation in the expression of AANAT (24, 25). To test whether p68 is mhnRNP Q, immunoprecipitation of UV cross-linked proteins with radiolabeled, in vitro-transcribed 144 and 63 mRNA (Fig. 3E, lanes 1 and 2) was performed using anti-mhnRNP Q antibody. mhnRNP Q was immunoprecipitated from the UV cross-linked proteins by its specific antibody (Fig. 3E, lane 3). No bands were detected when anti-Flag antibody was used as a negative control (Fig. 3E, lane 4). To confirm the direct interaction between hnRNP Q and the 5′-UTR of mPer1, radiolabeled 5′-UTR and purified hnRNP Q were subjected to in vitro binding and UV cross-linking. Purified hnRNP Q protein was shown to directly interact with the mPer1 5′-UTR (Fig. 3F). We also confirmed that the binding of mhnRNP Q to the 5′-UTR of mPer1 mRNA is specific, because the binding was markedly reduced by the addition of mPer1 5′-UTR 144 RNA competitors (see Fig. 5B in the supplemental material). Knockdown of hnRNP Q decreased direct binding between hnRNP Q and the mPer1 5′-UTR (see Fig. S5C). On the basis of these results, we concluded that the 68-kDa protein is mhnRNP Q and directly binds to the mPer1 5′-UTR.

**mhnRNP Q as an IRES trans-acting factor of mPer1 mRNA.** mhnRNP Q is involved in various aspects of mRNA metabolism, such as pre-mRNA splicing (34), mRNA degradation (16, 24), and cellular IRES-mediated translation (25). Because mhnRNP Q strongly bound to the wild-type 5′-UTR and the 144 region of mPer1 mRNA, but not the truncated 63 region, which exhibited
insignificant IRES activity, we thought that mhnRNP Q might be one of the ITAFs of mPer1 mRNA. To validate the role of mhnRNP Q in mPer1 mRNA translation, we used a knockdown system employing siRNA against mhnRNP Q (hnQ_si) and a mutated siRNA (hnQ_si_m) that did not decrease mhnRNP Q levels. NIH 3T3 cells were transiently transfected with control siRNA (Con_si), hnQ_si_m, and hnQ_si; 12 h later, reporter constructs containing the 5’-UTR of mPer1 were transfected. hnQ_si markedly decreased mhnRNP Q protein levels, but Con_si and hnQ_si_m did not (Fig. 4A). Knockdown of the mhnRNP Q level decreased both forms of IRES activity of mPer1 mRNA (Fig. 4B). We confirmed the effects and concentration dependencies of hnQ_si and hnQ_si_m siRNAs (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Thus, mhnRNP Q may play an important role as an ITAF in activating IRES-mediated translation of mPer1.

To analyze the physiological role of IRES-mediated translation of mPer1 by mhnRNP Q in circadian expression, we used the knockdown approach in NIH 3T3 cells. Reduction of mhnRNP Q resulted in lower mPER1 protein levels (Fig. 4C and D), suggesting that mhnRNP Q is critical for mPer1 mRNA translation. Previously, we showed that hnRNP Q functions as a 3’-UTR-binding factor important for mRNA stability (24). Thus, we determined whether reduced mPER1 levels due to knockdown of mhnRNP Q were caused by mPer1 mRNA degradation. When mhnRNP Q was decreased, the oscillation pattern, amplitude, and phase of mPer1 mRNA were not dramatically changed (Fig. 4E). These data imply that decreased mPER1 protein due to decreased mhnRNP Q is mediated by reduced translational activity rather than transcriptional or posttranscriptional modulation. Furthermore, the decreased IRES activity due to reduced mhnRNP Q mediated the diminished and delayed mPER1 expression. Taken together, these results imply that the IRES activity of mPer1 by mhnRNP Q allows robust expression of mPER1 in relation to the circadian period.

The importance of hnRNP Q in mPer1 mRNA translation was further investigated by analyzing the distribution patterns of control mGapdh mRNA and mPer1 mRNA in ribosome profiles with
or without hnRNP Q knockdown (Fig. 5A and B). The overall profiles of ribosomes in sucrose gradient analyses were not altered by decreased hnRNP Q. This was reflected in the levels of a control mRNA. The distribution pattern of m\(\text{Gapdh}\) mRNA was not changed by knockdown of hnRNP Q (Fig. 5C). On the other hand, a shift of m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA from heavy polysome (fraction 4) to light polysome (fraction 3), reflecting a reduction in m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA translation, was observed in cells transfected with siRNA against hnRNP Q (Fig. 5D). These results suggest that hnRNP Q plays an important role in the translation of m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA.

**Rhythmic binding between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA.**

As shown in Fig. 2D, the IRES-mediated translation rate followed the circadian period. mhnRNP Q levels could be rhythmic to allow circadian IRES activity, but the level of mhnRNP Q was relatively constant (Fig. 5C). On the other hand, a shift of m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA from heavy polysome (fraction 4) to light polysome (fraction 3), reflecting a reduction in m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA translation, was observed in cells transfected with siRNA against hnRNP Q (Fig. 5D). These results suggest that hnRNP Q plays an important role in the translation of m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA. The rhythmic interaction between mhnRNP Q and m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA is rhythmic. To test our hypothesis, we performed a UV cross-linking assay with dexamethasone-treated cell extracts. The binding affinity was correlated with the m\(\text{PER1}\) protein phase (Fig. 6A). To confirm the rhythmic interaction between mhnRNP Q and m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA, we performed IP-RT (32, 48) by using mhnRNP Q antibody after dexamethasone treatment of NIH 3T3 cells. The immunoprecipitated mhnRNP Q levels and input levels were the same during their respective circadian time frames (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA, which coimmunoprecipitated with mhnRNP Q, changed with dexamethasone treatment time, but m\(\text{Tbp}\) mRNA did not (Fig. 6C). In addition, m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNAs, which were coimmunoprecipitated, had peak levels at 32 h, which was also the peak time of m\(\text{PER1}\) protein expression. m\(\text{Per1}\) mRNA was not immunoprecipitated using preimmune serum (data not shown), indi-
cating that mhnRNP Q rhythmically binds to mPer1 mRNA in a specific manner and mediates time-dependent translational activity. However, treatment with dexamethasone causes mPer1 mRNA to oscillate, and abundant mPer1 mRNA at peak time (24 h) may bind to more mhnRNP Q compared to less mPer1 mRNA at trough time. To exclude the possibility that rhythmic binding between mPer1 mRNA and mhnRNP Q was affected by changing mPer1 mRNA levels, we used reporter mRNAs (Fig. 2C) to maintain constant mRNA levels. We transiently transfected dexamethasone-treated NIH 3T3 cells with the 5′-UTR of mPer1 mRNA reporters and then performed IP-RT. The levels of immunoprecipitated mhnRNP Q were the same at all time points (Fig. 6D). Nevertheless, coimmunoprecipitated mRNA reporter levels were different at each time point (Fig. 6E). The time point showing higher levels of reporter mRNAs that coimmunoprecipitated with mhnRNP Q correlated with the mPER1 peak time. Therefore, the results suggest that rhythmic binding between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA leads to circadian time-dependent IRES-mediated translation regardless of mPer1 mRNA or mhnRNP Q protein levels.

Functional role of mhnRNP Q. To analyze the relationship between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA in primary tissues, we determined the protein levels of mhnRNP Q and mPER1 in mouse liver. mPER1 protein levels were rhythmic to circadian time, but mhnRNP Q levels were relatively constant across time points (Fig. 7A). Cytosolic fractions of mouse liver were used for

FIG 4 hnRNP Q as an IRES trans-acting factor of mPer1 mRNA. (A) The cell extracts (10 μg) used for the experiment summarized in panel B were subjected to Western blotting. (B) siRNAs that target mhnRNP Q (hnQ_si), mutated mhnRNP Q siRNA (hnQ_si_m), and control siRNA (Con_si) were transfected with bicistronic reporters to NIH 3T3 cells. The activities of transfected cells with Con_si were set to 1 (n = 4). For pHRE1A, P = 0.0455; for pHRE1B, P = 0.007797. (C) NIH 3T3 cells were transfected with siRNAs targeting mhnRNP Q or control siRNA by microporation, incubated for 12 h, treated with dexamethasone, and harvested at the indicated time points. Then, immunoblotting (IB) was performed with the indicated antibodies. (D) The relative levels of mPER1 in panel C, normalized to 14-3-3ζ, were calculated and plotted. The mPER1 level at 36 h, normalized to 14-3-3ζ, was set to 100. (E) Total RNA was prepared from the harvested cells for which data are shown in panel D, and then RT and real-time PCR were performed with an mPer1-specific primer. Error bars represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate measurements.
in vitro binding and UV cross-linking with the radiolabeled 5'-UTR of mPer1. Surprisingly, binding between hnRNP Q and e1A RNA correlated with the mPER1 protein phase as the circadian rhythm (Fig. 7B). These results suggest that rhythmic binding between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA leads to circadian time-dependent IRES-mediated translation regardless of mPer1 mRNA or mhnRNP Q protein levels and is as relevant in cultured cell lines as in animal tissues.

DISCUSSION

Periodicity of transcription is essential for maintaining the cycle of a molecular clock system. Posttranscriptional and posttranslational regulations also appear to be important for fine-tuning such a system. In the expression of mPer1, there is a time lag between the mRNA oscillation pattern and the protein expression profile. To explain this phenomenon, posttranscriptional regulation was considered part of the mechanism. Recently, the importance of posttranscriptional regulation in the bio-clock system has emerged (7, 24–26, 29, 46, 47). Indeed, it has been reported that circadian-regulated rat AANAT expression is controlled by IRES-mediated translation (25). Translation initiation, in concert with transcription, could be a critical step for gene expression. We focused on the translation initiation step for posttranscriptional regulation of the bio-clock system, using mPer1 as an example. mPER1 is an important clock protein that is part of the core feedback loop in the circadian rhythm system. mPER1 is thought to be essential for maintaining circadian rhythm and phase resetting. PER1 is also linked to cell cycle regulation and cancer.

In the present study, we found that the 5'-UTR of mPer1 contained an IRES element and that its translation rate was circadian time dependent. As other clock genes also have rhythmic mRNA and protein profiles with time lag, we also checked their IRES activities: mouse cryptochrome 1 (mCry1), mouse Period2 (mPer2), and mouse Period3 (mPer3) (see Fig. S7 in the supplemental material). mPer1 5'-UTRs have high IRES activities, but not mCry1, mPer2, or mPer3. In the cases of mPer2 and mPer3, IRES activities were slightly increased above controls but were much lower than for mPer1. However, these results must be confirmed by other experiments. We think that some other mechanisms also can modulate their expression and can create time lag oscillation of clock genes. It has been reported that LARK regulates mPer1 translation through binding to the 3'-UTR of mPer1 (26). mPER1 expression is regulated via 3'- and 5'-UTR-mediated translation. To clarify the mechanism of rhythmic mPER1 expression, more information, such as activity of LARK protein under the condition of mhnRNP Q knockdown, the collective effects of LARK and mhnRNP Q on mPER1, expression time, and the binding pattern of hnRNP Q and LARK are required.

mhnRNP Q directly bound to the 5'-UTR of mPer1 mRNA, while a construct that could not bind to mhnRNP Q had little IRES activity. Indeed, knockdown of mhnRNP Q inhibited the IRES activity of mPer1 and resulted in decreased mPER1 protein expression without changing mPer1 mRNA levels. We examined the cycling of an mPer2-dsLuc reporter in dexamethasone-treated cells, with control siRNA or hnRNP Q siRNA transfection (see Fig. S8A in the supplemental material). Knockdown of hnRNP Q, which reduces mPER1 protein, did not change the period of mPer2-dsLuc activity. To check the effects of hnRNP Q in detail, we also observed endogenous mRNA profiles of clock genes. A decrease in the hnRNP Q level did not change the mouse D site albumin promoter-binding protein (mDbp) or mCry1 mRNA.
However, knockdown of hnRNP Q slightly reduced the mRNA level of mouse nuclear receptor subfamily 1 (mNr1d1) and mPer2 (see Fig. S8D and E). The possibility that hnRNP Q modulates other clock genes should be considered. We found that hnRNP Q could directly bind to the 3'-UTR of mCry1 (data not shown). As hnRNP Q binds to mRNA of mPer1 and other clock genes, the knockdown of hnRNP Q or mPer1 may lead to a different outcome. To understand the function of hnRNP Q in the overall clock system, further studies of the core clock protein levels and the relationship between hnRNP Q and other clock genes would be necessary.

At first, we thought that the mhnRNP Q protein level might follow a circadian rhythm because it was shown to be rhythmic in rat pineal glands (25). However, rather than the mhnRNP Q protein itself exhibiting circadian rhythm, it was the interaction between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA that was rhythmic, and their binding was shown in Fig. 6A. Dexamethasone-treated cytoplasmic cell extracts of NIH 3T3 cells were subjected to in vitro binding and UV cross-linking with the radiolabeled mPer1 144 5'-UTR. The cytoplasmic cell extracts used for UV cross-linking were also subjected to immunoblotting (IB), using 14-3-3 δ as a control. The band intensities were quantified. (B) NIH 3T3 cells were treated with dexamethasone, and cytosolic extracts were prepared. Immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed using anti-hnRNP Q antibody and preimmune serum as a control. (C) The coimmunoprecipitated mRNAs with mhnRNP Q shown in panel B were analyzed by real-time PCR. (D) NIH 3T3 cells were treated with dexamethasone, and bicistronic mRNA reporters that harbor 5'-UTRs of mPer1 were transfected at the indicated time points and subjected to immunoprecipitation. (E) Two-thirds (based on volume) of the washed beads from the immunoprecipitation in panel D were used for total RNA preparation. Then, the RNA level was quantified by real-time PCR with Fluc-specific primers and normalized to the Fluc value obtained in the preimmunoprecipitation experiment. The relative numerical values at 17 to 23 h were set to 1 (n = 4). Means and SEM (error bars) are shown. **, P < 0.005.
binding was strongest at peak mPER1 levels. It is not known why the expression profile of mhnRNP Q is different from previous results with rat pineal glands (25). mhnRNP Q may oscillate in the pineal gland but not in other tissues or cells. A further study to elucidate the mechanism of rhythmic mhnRNP Q binding to mPer1 mRNA is needed. The secondary structure of mPer1 mRNA at different circadian times or the interaction of mhnRNP Q with other unknown ITAFs in a time-dependent manner may affect the rhythmic binding of mhnRNP Q to mPer1 mRNA. Post-translational modification of mhnRNP Q, such as phosphorylation, may also have an effect on the rhythmic interaction. mhnRNP Q may be phosphorylated on a tyrosine residue. It has been shown that the binding of RNA to mhnRNP Q specifically inhibited mhnRNP Q phosphorylation (21). We assume that rhythmic phosphorylation of mhnRNP Q may be one of the mechanisms allowing a time-dependent interaction between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA.

Because mPer1 has two 5′-UTR forms as a result of alternative promoter usage, any functional differences between the 5′-UTRs should be clarified. Based on transfection with DNA reporters (Fig. 1B), the two 5′-UTRs have different IRES activities. However, the IRES activities were similar, and the difference was small when mRNA reporters were transfected (data not shown). We think the discrepancy between the DNA and RNA transfection activities is due to a low cryptic promoter activity or a posttranscriptional effect.

Based on our data, the oscillation of mPER1 levels can be explained as illustrated in our model (Fig. 7C). mhnRNP Q binds to preexisting mPer1 mRNAs. Then, mhnRNP Q facilitates the recruitment of ribosomes, which accelerates IRES-mediated translation of mPer1; mPER1 protein then reaches peak levels. However, modifications in mhnRNP Q or its association with other ITAFs may inhibit interaction between mhnRNP Q and mPer1 mRNA. The weak binding of mhnRNP Q to mPer1 mRNA could not enhance cap-independent translation of mPer1, and only canonical cap-dependent translation could produce mPER1. For this reason, the mPER1 protein level is low.
other core clock genes. From these results, we suggest that the physiologic circadian rhythm is generated by a very complex rhythmic molecular system that comprises time-dependent transcriptional, rhythmic posttranscriptional, and modulated translational and posttranslational regulation. This work may help to explain the time gap between mRNA and protein regulation of amplitude and phase. Our study may also ultimately give insight into the tightly and finely regulated molecular system of the circadian clock.
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