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Ti–Si–N thin films were deposited by plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition from TiCl4, SiH4, and N2/H2/Ar plasma at 350 °C. For comparison, TiN plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) was also performed from TiCl4. The effects of growth parameters on film properties were studied. Especially, the changes in sequences of precursor-reactant exposure steps were found to produce large change in the growth rates and Si concentration in the films. The results are discussed based upon the molecule-surface reaction mechanisms. Also, the Cu diffusion barrier properties of the PEALD Ti–Si–N films were investigated. PEALD Ti–Si–N films have shown better diffusion barrier properties than PEALD TiN films and can be a promising candidate for future Cu interconnect technology beyond 65 nm technology node. [DOI: 10.1116/1.2198846]

I. INTRODUCTION

Copper is the most promising material for the next generation (beyond 65 nm) interconnect technology due to its lower resistivity and larger electro-stress-migration resistance than Al-based materials. However, copper atoms easily diffuse into silicon devices at low temperature, which could cause critical degradation of device performance. For successful implementation of copper interconnect technology, it is essential to utilize highly reliable diffusion barrier. Especially, as the minimum feature size of silicon devices shrinks, copper diffusion barriers should scale down accordingly. The reason for this is that thick diffusion barriers would leave little space for Cu, nullifying the advantage of Cu metallization. Thus, more effective but thinner Cu diffusion barrier materials are required as device scaling continues. Various materials, including Ta, Ti, TaN, TiN, Ta–Si–N, Ti–Si–N, and WN, have been investigated as copper diffusion barriers. Among these, Ti–Si–N, one of amorphous refractory materials, is a promising candidate for this application since it does not have fast diffusion paths, such as grain boundary, which is present in polycrystalline materials.

Until now, Ti–Si–N films have been deposited using an rf reactive sputtering technique. Although physical vapor deposition (PVD) including sputtering produces good quality films with reasonable barrier properties, it will soon reach critical limits in silicon device application with increasing aspect ratio and decreasing size of contact, via, and trench, etc., due to its inherent poor conformality. To overcome this problem, other deposition techniques including chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and atomic layer deposition (ALD) have been investigated to deposit Ti–Si–N films. CVD of Ti–Si–N thin films were reported from as early as 1980's. TiCl4 was employed as metal precursor, which reacted with Si source such as SiH2Cl2 or SiCl4 and nitrogen source such as NH3 or N2/H2 at temperatures above 1000 °C. However, the requirement of very high temperature makes it undesirable for silicon device fabrication. Later, Si alloying of TiN has been carried out by plasma-assisted chemical vapor deposition (PACVD) from TiCl4, N2, and SiH4 in argon at lower temperatures (~500 °C). However, lower temperature deposition process is required for application as interconnect, for which the process temperature is limited to below 400 °C. More recently, metal-organic CVD (MOCVD) (Ref. 12) and ALD (Ref. 13) processes have been developed, using tetakis(dimethylamino) titanium (TDMAT), NH3, and SiH4 at temperature below 400 °C. Despite lower deposition temperature, these processes have problems of significant C contamination, uncontrollable film composition, inaccurate thickness control, poor resistivity, etc.

In this article, we have developed plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) process of Ti–Si–N films from TiCl4 precursor. The effects of Si incorporation in Ti–Si–N film properties such as microstructure and diffusion barrier properties and the deposition mechanism were investigated by changing the precursor-reactant supplying sequence. The focus was on a development of a promising ALD process to overcome the limitations of conventional ALD process, such as poor resistivity, deficiency of reactants, etc., and to inherit the benefits of ALD such as accurate thickness control, excellent conformality, digital controllable composition, and so on.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Ti–Si–N films were deposited on SiO2 by the alternate supply of TiCl4 as a Ti precursor, SiH4 as a Si precursor, and...
N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma as a nitrogen source/reducing agent. For comparison, TiN PEALD was also performed from TiCl$_4$ and N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma. The deposition temperature and pressure were set as 350 °C and 3 torr, respectively. The schematic diagram of apparatus used is shown in Fig. 1. This deposition apparatus consists of a double chamber structure which includes hot wall inner chamber, cold wall outer chamber, a gas switching system, a pumping system, and a radio frequency (rf) generator (13.56 MHz). The reactor in the inner chamber is a vertical flow type to improve a gas switching timing and uniform plasma density. The shower head was used not only as the distributor of gases but also as the electrode to generate plasma.

Nitrogen [200 SCCM (SCCM denotes cubic centimeter per minute at STP)], H$_2$ (20 SCCM), and Ar (200 SCCM) were mixed in the showerhead and passed over the wafer during processing. Various precursor-reactant exposure sequences were used and N$_2$ purge period was inserted between each reactant gas pulse to isolate the reactant gases from each other. As an example, T–S–N* sequence consisted of exposure to TiCl$_4$, a purge period with N$_2$, exposure to SiH$_4$, a purge period with N$_2$, and exposure to N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma. Here, T, S, and N* represent TiCl$_4$, SiH$_4$, and N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma supplies (the asterisk means plasma ignition), respectively. Other exposure sequences are summarized in Table I. To ignite and maintain the N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma synchronized with the deposition cycle, a rectangular electrical power wave was applied between the upper (showerhead) and lower (substrate) electrodes. The plasma source operated at a power of 150 W for 10 s, capacitively coupled with a radio frequency (13.56 MHz).

The film thickness and resistivity were analyzed with a surface profile meter (TENCOR Ins. 200) and four point probe, respectively. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed to determine the microstructure. The composition and silicon content in Ti–Si–N films were determined using 9.0 MeV Cl$^+$ elastic recoil detection time of flight (ERD-TOF), 2.43 MeV He$^{2+}$ Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS), and Auger electron spectroscopy (AES). Electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA, Mg K$_\alpha$ =1253.6 eV) was used to analyze the chemical bonding status of the Ti–Si–N in the films. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to examine the size and microstructure of the Ti–Si–N thin film.

For the diffusion barrier test, 100 nm thick Cu films were deposited by dc rotating sputter system on PEALD TiN and Ti–Si–N films (10 nm thickness)/p-type Si substrate. The condition of Cu sputtering was 2000 W of power with 8 SCCM Ar flow. Rapid thermal annealing (RTA) system was used to anneal the prepared samples with a temperature ramping rate of 150 °C/min in Ar circumstance. The diffusion barrier properties of all the samples were studied by monitoring the sheet resistance and phase change by four point probe and XRD.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As a preliminary experiment, we investigated the reactivity between each reactant, TiCl$_4$, SiH$_4$, and N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma at typical growth conditions of 350 °C and 3 torr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/precursor</th>
<th>Precursor sequence condition (scheme of one cycle)</th>
<th>Growth rate (Å/cycle)</th>
<th>Si content (at. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiN (TN')/TiCl$_4$, N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma</td>
<td>TiCl$_4$: N$_2$ purge: N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma: N$_2$ purge</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si$_2$N$_2$ (SN')/SiH$_4$, N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma</td>
<td>SiH$_4$: N$_2$ purge: N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma: N$_2$ purge</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>~40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiSiN (TN'SN')/TiCl$_4$, SiH$_4$, N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma</td>
<td>TiCl$_4$: N$_2$ purge: N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma: N$_2$ purge</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiSiN (TN'SN')/TiCl$_4$, SiH$_4$, N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma</td>
<td>TiCl$_4$: N$_2$ purge: SiH$_4$: N$_2$ purge: N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma: N$_2$ purge</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition apparatus.
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**Fig. 2.** Dependence of the growth rate and silicon content in the Ti–Si–N films on the SiH4 pulse time. The films were grown on SiO2 at 350 °C with the sequential supply of TiCl4 for 1 s, SiH4 for 0 s–15 s, and N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s. The partial pressure of SiH4 was fixed at 0.1 torr.

(Table I). Firstly, the reaction between TiCl4 and N2/H2/Ar plasma (TN) was investigated by performing PEALD of TiN films. TiN thin films were successfully grown, indicating strong reaction between these. The growth rate was saturated at about 0.46 Å/cycle and the resistivity of thin film was about 80 μΩ cm. Second set, SiH4 and N2/H2/Ar plasma (SN), indicates weak reaction between these. Although Si3N4 thin film was deposited on Si substrate, the growth rate was very small as about 0.1 Å/cycle. Meanwhile, TiCl4 and SiH4 molecules did not react together at 350 °C.

Ti–Si–N PEALD was performed by using various exposure sequences of TiCl4, SiH4, and N2/H2/Ar plasma, as summarized in Table I. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the growth rate (Å/cycle) and silicon content in Ti–Si–N thin films on the SiH4 pulse time for the first sequence studied, T–S–N* sequence. In this case, Ti–Si–N films were grown by a sequential supplying of TiCl4 for 1 s, SiH4 for 0–15 s, and N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s. The partial pressure of SiH4 during exposure was fixed at 0.1 torr. When the SiH4 pulse time exceeded 7 s, the growth rate and silicon content in Ti–Si–N thin films were saturated at 0.73 Å/cycle and 8.8 at. % (Si content ≈ 0.5 at. %), respectively. The resistivity of Ti–Si–N thin films was also higher at about 500 μΩ cm (the resistivity in Ti–N PEALD was 80 μΩ cm). The saturation of the growth rate and the Si content indicates that SiH4 is incorporated by a self-limiting reaction and that N2/H2/Ar plasma is capable of acting as a reducing agent during this reaction.

In the current experiments, the growth rate was higher than that of TiN PEALD, which was about 0.46 Å/cycle as mentioned above. In the previous work on Ti–Si–N ALD using TDMAT ( Ti[N(CH3)2]3), SiH4, and NH3, however, the growth rate was smaller than that of Ti–N ALD. It was proposed that the SiH4 molecules block the available adsorption sites of TDMAT molecule resulting in growth rate

**Fig. 3.** Dependence of the growth rate and silicon content in the Ti–Si–N films on the SiH4 pulse time by different reactant exposure sequence. The reactants were supplied in the sequence of SiH4 for 0 s–15 s, TiCl4 for 1 s, and N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s. Thus, the higher growth rate of Ti–Si–N PEALD than TiN indicates that the growth mechanism is quite different than Ti–Si–N ALD from TDMAT. We propose that for Ti–Si–N from TiCl4, the number of TiCl4 molecules adsorbed on S–N* terminated surface is more than that on T–N* terminated surface resulting in growth rate increase.

Next, TN*SN* sequence was performed by sequential supply of TiCl4 for 1 s, N2 purge for 10 s, N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s, N2 purge for 1 s, SiH4 pulse for 10 s, N2 purge for 10 s, N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s, and N2 purge for 1 s. This sequence is composed of alternate deposition step of Ti–N and Si3N4 PEALD growth sequence. However, the growth rate (0.89 Å/cycle) was turned out to be higher than the summation of growth rate of Ti–N (0.46 Å/cycle) and Si3N4 PEALD (0.1 Å/cycle), respectively. This result again can be explained by the same proposition mentioned above, in other words, the S–N* terminated surface provides higher adsorption site density for TiCl4 molecules than T–N* terminated surface (Ti–N film).

Finally, S–T–N* sequence was investigated and compared with T–S–N* sequence shown in Fig. 2. For S–T–N* sequence, the reactants were supplied in the sequence of SiH4 for 10 s, TiCl4 for 1 s, and N2/H2/Ar plasma for 10 s. The growth rates and Si composition for this case are shown in Fig. 3. The overall trends are similar to the results shown in Fig. 2. However, the growth rates of the Ti–Si–N PEALD at saturation condition was about 0.60 Å/cycle, which is significantly smaller than that from the T–S–N* sequence. The saturation occurs when the SiH4 pulse time exceeds 10 s. At this saturation condition, the Si content was about 13 at. %. Here, it should be noted that the growth rate and silicon content is different between TSN* (0.73 Å/cycle, 8.8 at. %) and STN* (0.6 Å/cycle, 13 at. %) sequences. This difference may be explained by the changes in TiCl4 and SiH4 molecules’ adsorption reaction depending on the exposure sequence.

In TSN* sequence, TiCl4 molecules would adsorb on S–N* terminated surface while in STN* sequence, they would adsorb on Si–H terminated surface. Since TiCl4 and SiH4 molecules do not react each other at deposition temperature, 350 °C, the blockade of SiH4 molecules in STN*
sequence gives low adsorption amount of TiCl$_4$ molecules resulting in lower growth rate (0.60 Å/cycle) for STN$^*$ sequence than that (0.73 Å/cycle) for TSN$^*$ sequence. Also, for TSN$^*$ sequence, where SiH$_4$ molecules adsorb in Ti–Cl terminated surface, the adsorption amount of SiH$_4$ is smaller (8.8 at. %) than that for STN$^*$ sequence (13 at. %), where SiH$_4$ molecules adsorb on Ti–N$^*$ terminated surface. However, more detailed surface reaction chemistry should be investigated to obtain more decisive and quantitative explanation.

X-ray diffraction profiles of Ti–Si–N and TiN thin films formed by PEALD are shown in Fig. 4. Well-resolved XRD peaks including (111), (200), and (220) diffraction peaks from cubic TiN were detected for PEALD TiN films. While the XRD spectrum of the Ti–Si–N thin film is similar to that of the Ti–N PEALD, but has much weaker and broader peaks than that of Ti–N PEALD at the same deposition temperatures. Thus, the crystallinity of the film is much poor for Ti–Si–N films. To obtain more information on the microstructure of Ti–Si–N and TiN PEALD thin films, TEM plan-view analysis was performed (Fig. 5). Agreeing with XRD results, PEALD TiN film is composed of well-developed polycrystalline grains with size above 30 nm, while the PEALD Ti–Si–N films is composed of nanocrystalline grains with below 10 nm size embedded in amorphous matrix. The cross-sectional TEM (not shown) indicates that the TiN films appear to be a columnar structure while Ti–Si–N has a randomly oriented structure. It is reported that the partial replacement of the Ti atoms by Si atoms in TiN films disturbs the smooth growth of the columnar grains resulting in nanocrystalline structure.$^{14}$

Figure 6 shows the ESCA spectra showing the chemical bonding states of the N 1$s$ [Fig. 6(a)] and Si 2$p$ [Fig. 6(b)] in Ti–Si–N thin films deposited by TSN$^*$ sequence. The deposition conditions consisted of sequential supplying of TiCl$_4$ for 1 s, SiH$_4$ for 10 s, and N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma for 10 s at 350 °C, 3 torr, and 150 W. The binding energy of the Si 2$p$ was 101.8 eV, indicating that the silicon in Ti–Si–N thin film exists as a Si$_3$N$_4$ and the binding energy of the N 1$s$ is shown as two peaks centered at 396.7 and 397.5 eV, which are Ti–N and Si$_3$N$_4$ bonding, respectively. This suggests that Ti–Si–N thin films consist of TiN and Si$_3$N$_4$ bonding because the chemical binding of Ti 2$p$ only involves Ti–N bonding without any Ti–Si bonding (not shown). This result is consistent with other previous reported results on CVD and PECVD Ti–Si–N. On the other hand, the previous report on Ti–Si–N MOALD using TDMAT, SiH$_4$, and NH$_3$, Si$_3$N$_4$ phase was not observed because SiH$_4$ and NH$_3$ did not react at 180 °C of deposition temperature.\(^{15}\) The formation of Si$_3$N$_4$ phase, observed in the current study, would give to increase resistivity in Ti–Si–N thin films and improve Cu diffusion barrier properties.\(^{16}\) Based upon these x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) results together with XRD and TEM results, we can infer that the PEALD Ti–Si–N is composed of TiN nanocrystalline grains embedded in Si$_3$N$_4$-like amorphous matrix.

To evaluate the Cu diffusion barrier properties, test structures composed of Cu films deposited on top of either the TiN or Ti–Si–N thin films (thickness of 10 nm) on Si substrates were prepared. Figure 7 shows the variation in the
sheet resistance as a function of the annealing temperature for the Cu (100 nm)/TiN PEALD (10 nm)/Si and Cu (100 nm)/Ti–Si–N (10 nm)/Si. The sheet resistance of both samples was measured by four point probe after 30 min of heat treatment at a temperature between 450 and 700 °C. The sheet resistance was initially 0.47 Ω/□ for the Cu/TiN PEALD/Si, which slowly decreases to 0.41 Ω/□ by 500 °C, and then increased sharply at above 550 °C. On the other hand, the sheet resistance of Cu/Ti–Si–N PEALD/Si slowly decreased to 0.30 Ω/□ by 600 °C, and then drastically increased above 650 °C. The large increase of sheet resistance is due to the formation of Cu–Si compounds following Cu diffusion through barrier (TiN and Ti–Si–N in this case), and this temperature can be considered as the Cu diffusion barrier failure temperature.

For further information, XRD analysis has been performed for both samples and the results are shown in Fig. 8. Three Cu XRD peaks near 43°, 50°, and 75° grew gradually with increasing the annealing temperature by 500 °C in Ti–N PEALD and 600 °C in Ti–Si–N PEALD. A further increase of the Cu-related peaks accompanied by the evolution of new peaks near 44°–46°, as indicated in Fig. 8. This indicates the formation of Cu–Si compound (Cu3Si) phase formed by the Cu diffusion through diffusion barrier.17,18 The results in Fig. 8, together with those in Fig. 7, indicate that the PEALD Ti–Si–N has a better diffusion barrier property than that of PEALD TiN, with at least 100 °C higher diffusion barrier failure temperature.

Previous report indicates that the microstructure of diffusion barrier might affect the diffusion barrier property significantly.19 The microstructure of PEALD Ti–Si–N is nanocrystalline embedded in amorphous matrix, while that of PEALD TiN is columnar polycrystalline. For polycrystalline PEALD TiN, the grain boundary provides easy path for
Cu diffusion while the amorphous Si$_3$N$_4$-like matrix prevent the diffusion of Cu atoms for PEALD Ti–Si–N. Thus, the better performance of PEALD Ti–Si–N compared to TiN is attributed to the formation of Si$_3$N$_4$-like amorphous phase. With decreasing device size requiring ultrathin diffusion barrier, the amorphous-like Ti–Si–N would be a good diffusion barrier material. Together with good conformality of ALD process, the PEALD Ti–Si–N could be a potentially essential component for successful fabrication of semiconductor device beyond 65 nm technology node.

IV. CONCLUSION

Ti–Si–N and TiN thin films have been deposited by PEALD using TiCl$_4$, SiH$_4$, and N$_2$/H$_2$/Ar plasma using various precursor-reactant exposure sequences. The growth characteristics and film properties were largely dependent on the exposure sequences, which were explained by the differences in reactant molecule adsorption reactions. With the incorporation of Si atoms, the microstructure of the films changed to amorphous with the increase in resistivity. The PEALD Ti–Si–N films were composed of TiN nanocrystalline grains and Si$_3$N$_4$-like amorphous phase. Due to the amorphous microstructure and existence of Si$_3$N$_4$-like amorphous phase, the diffusion barrier property against Cu has been greatly improved.
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